# Coalfire Systems Inc.'s Statement of its Analysis of Video Recorded by the Center for Medical Progress

In September 2015, the Alliance Defending Freedom engaged Coalfire Government Systems (CGS), a subsidiary of Coalfire Systems, Inc., to conduct a computer forensics analysis of certain raw video and audio data files recorded by the Center for Medical Progress. Coalfire's objectives for this project were to:

- Forensically evaluate video and audio files provided by CGS and determine whether the video or audio content of the files has been edited or otherwise altered;
- Compare the video and audio content to certain videos posted to YouTube for the purpose of determining inconsistencies between the videos; and
- Using technical techniques, enhance a segment of one audio segment.

The scope of Coalfire's analysis did not cover or include:

- Validation of those individuals depicted in the video or audio, who recorded the video and audio files, the location where they were recorded, when they were recorded, or the purpose of the recordings;
- An evaluation of the chain-of-custody of the material provided to Coalfire; and
- Coalfire's analysis was limited to only the materials received from ADF counsel and did not include interviews of participants in the videos or audios.

A flash drive containing recorded media was received via FedEx by Coalfire on Sept. 17, 2015, where it was examined using industry-standard forensic tools and techniques. The flash drive contained (i) a total of 10 videos with audio recorded on two separate devices and (ii) a total of eight audio recordings made with two audio-only devices.

Coalfire's analysis of the recorded media files contained on the flash drive indicates that the video recordings are authentic and show no evidence of manipulation or editing. This conclusion is supported by the consistency of the video file date and time stamps, the video timecode, as well as the folder and file naming scheme. The uniformity between the footage from Investigator 1's camera and Investigator 2's camera also support the evidence that the video recordings are authentic.

With regard to the YouTube videos released by the Center for Medical Progress, edits made to the Full Footage videos were applied to eliminate non-pertinent footage, such as restroom breaks, meals, and other similar periods lacking pertinent conversation. Any discrepancies in the chronology of the timecodes are consistent with the intentional removal of this non-pertinent footage as described in our report.

Further, four of the five video recordings, which also contained audio captured from the video recording device, are accompanied by an audio recording captured from a separate audio-only recording device. The audio-only recordings last for the duration of their associated videos. These audio recordings support the completeness and authenticity of the video recordings since they depict the same events within the same duration as captured from the two separate video recorders.

*A Google search shows more than 120 national news stories related to the Planned Parenthood video analysis project.*